

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,662	12/16/2003	Rosann Marie Kaylor	18,264	5357	
23556	7590 08/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			APANIUS, MICHAEL		
NEENAH, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3736		
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,662	KAYLOR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Apanius	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period values of the provision of the pro	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. tely filed the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ap	oril 2006 and 15 August 2006.				
,	· —·				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 8-27 is/are with</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 9/13/2004 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040329</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/736,662 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 4/28/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Applicant's election of Species 1.1 in the reply filed on 8/15/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claims 3 and 8-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group and/or Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The lined out citation on the IDS of 3/29/2004 was not considered because a copy of the non-patent literature publication was not found in the application file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/736,662 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kritzman et al. (US 6,921,647). Kritzman discloses a method of detecting the premature rupture of amniotic membrane comprising testing vaginal fluid for pH and determining a result as an irreversible or stable color change (column 12, lines 23-24) in a test medium. Note that the color change is resistant to further change due to long use or wetting and drying cycles (column 3, lines 58-59).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. (US 6,149,590) in view of Cheng and Stevens (1998). Smith discloses a method (abstract) of detecting the premature rupture of amniotic membrane comprising testing vaginal fluid for pH and determining a result as a color change in a test medium. Although Smith discloses that a variety of pH indicators could be used (column 6, lines 59-60), Smith does not expressly disclose that the color change is

Art Unit: 3736

irreversible or the use of liposomes. Cheng teaches that glutamic acid derivatized 10,12-pentacosadiynoic acid lipids (GLU-PDA; 2 in figure 1) are known in the art and are known to be useful in bio-sensing (abstract and first paragraph). GLU-PDA forms liposomes that undergo an irreversible hyperchromic spectral shift. Figure 2 shows liposomes that undergo a spectral shift at a pH of at least 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have used the liposomes taught by Cheng in the method of Smith because it is well-known and routine within the art to substitute known pH indicators and because Smith discloses that various pH indicators can be used.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 2001/0025140 and US 2001/0053876 discloses a system for identifying premature rupture of membrane during pregnancy. US 6,982,360 discloses a pantyliner for pregnant women. US 7,049,152 discloses a composition that undergoes pH-induced chromatic transition and morphological transformation.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Apanius whose telephone number is (571) 272-5537. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8am-4:30pm.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3736

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA

MAX F. MINDENBURY
SUITEFULSORY PATENT EXAMINER